



FINAL REPORT

Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee

December 2013

MEMBERS:

Senator Mary Jo Wilhelm, Co-chairperson
Senator Nancy Boettger
Senator Mark Chelgren
Senator Robert Dvorsky
Senator Liz Mathis

Representative Julian Garrett, Co-chairperson
(8/19/2013 -11/22/2013)*
Representative Mark Costello, Co-chairperson
(11/22/2013)*
Representative Lisa Heddens
Representative Bruce Hunter
Representative John Landon

*Representative Julian Garrett was elected to the Iowa Senate and resigned from the House of Representatives during the interim. Representative Mark Costello was appointed as Co-chairperson beginning 11/22/2013.

Staff Contacts:

Patty Funaro, Senior Legal Counsel, (515) 281-3040
patty.funaro@legis.iowa.gov

Rachele Hjelmaas, Senior Legal Counsel, (515) 281-8127
rachele.hjelmaas@legis.iowa.gov

Contents:

- I. Procedural Business
- II. Overview of Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention in the State
- III. Progress Report and Recommendations of the 2013 Task Force
- IV. Final Report
- V. Materials Filed With the Legislative Services Agency

AUTHORIZATION AND APPOINTMENT

The Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee was established by the Legislative Council for the 2013 Legislative Interim. The committee's charge was to monitor the progress of, and provide direction to, the Task Force on Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention created pursuant to 2012 Iowa Acts, chapter 1056, and continued pursuant to 2013 Iowa Acts, Senate File 446, section 50. The study committee was also charged with reviewing the task force progress report and to approve the final report of the task force and to submit the committee's recommendations and a final report to the General Assembly following completion of the committee's work.



Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee

I. Procedural Business

The committee met on Thursday, November 14, 2013, in Room 103 of the State Capitol to receive background information and the progress report from the 2013 Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Task Force.

II. Overview of Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention in the State

The committee received information from a panel regarding the current system and gaps in the system. The panel also discussed additional background and prior reports and recommendations, including those made by the 2012 task force.

A. Introduction

Ms. Donna Harvey, Director, Iowa Department on Aging (IDA), provided an overview of elder abuse law, noting that while there is a dependent adult abuse (DAA) law, there currently is no elder abuse law in Iowa; the development of an elder abuse system is at a similar stage in development as the child abuse system was 30 years ago; and since adults have the right to make their own decisions, the child abuse system cannot just be superimposed on an elder abuse system. Under the federal Older Americans Act, the state has an Elder Rights Division in IDA and federal law defines elder abuse. Ms. Harvey reviewed the results of a Lean enterprise value stream mapping process that stakeholders had participated in to determine where there are areas of duplication, silos (categorical funding or programs), and collaboration. State department directors agreed that the system should use a single point of contact to report elder abuse, and specifically the aging and disability resource centers (ADRCs); supported the continuation of the three-year guardianship and conservatorship project at the University of Iowa; supported the Office of Substitute Decision Maker (OSDM); and supported making mandatory reporter training more consistent across all disciplines.

B. Department of Human Services (DHS)

Ms. Jone Staley, Dependent Adult Abuse Program Manager, DHS, described the process involved in intake and disposition of reports of DAA through DHS. Inconsistent training affects the disposition of reports. Any DAA report that is rejected is also referred to the respective county attorney or to the Department of Inspections and Appeals (DIA), as appropriate. If the report is criminal in nature, the report is also referred to law enforcement. While a single point of contact such as the ADRC would be helpful, additional staffing resources would be needed to manage the additional individuals who might be reported under an expansion of the definition of elder abuse. Although reports are forwarded to county attorneys, county attorneys lack adequate resources and appropriate training.

C. Department of Inspections and Appeals (DIA)

Ms. Wendy Dishman, Investigations Division Administrator, DIA, noted that DIA handles DAA reports in health facilities. DIA also addresses financial exploitation and fraud through its Medicaid Fraud and Divestiture units. Ms. Dishman identified delays in the placement of the names of



perpetrators of founded abuse on the registry, the inability to share information among agencies, the lack of resources including at the county attorney level, a reluctance to prosecute what is sometimes perceived as a domestic situation, confusion about what statute to use as the basis for prosecution, and the limitations of the definition of DAA which requires the alleged perpetrator to be a “caretaker” as barriers to enforcing the DAA law. Another issue is the lack of oversight under Iowa’s current power of attorney law (Iowa Code chapter 633B).

D. Polk County Attorney’s Office

Ms. Celene Gogerty, Assistant Polk County Attorney, noted that many county attorneys are employed part-time and do not have the resources or expertise to prosecute DAA cases. These deficits also apply to law enforcement agencies that often do not have adequate training to work with elders, especially when dementia is involved. Ms. Gogerty described the current DAA system under Iowa Code chapter 235B as one which includes three purposes: administrative, which is mainly a process to place perpetrators of founded DAA on the registry for employment purposes; criminal, which provides for the initiation of criminal charges of DAA under Iowa Code section 235B.20; and civil, which allows for the issuance of civil orders to initiate or enjoin certain activities. The use of the same definition of DAA for all three purposes is unusual and causes confusion. Defining DAA to require the alleged perpetrator to be a caretaker as a prerequisite to investigating a report often results in the report being rejected before services can be provided or orders can be issued to investigate.

Confidentiality requirements are also a barrier especially if the report does not meet the definition of DAA and is rejected outright. Information from a rejected report cannot be shared, thereby prohibiting even a referral for services. Although financial exploitation is much more prevalent than other types of abuse, many times other types of abuse occur along with financial exploitation. The Uniform Power of Attorney Act proposed by the Iowa State Bar Association would help to address financial exploitation. The 2013 task force discussed the definition of “elder abuse” and reviewed various options. Other states’ definitions were reviewed, but the variations made a determination of the best fit for Iowa’s law difficult.

E. Office of the Attorney General (AG)

Ms. Chantelle Smith, Assistant Iowa Attorney General, noted that by the time someone contacts the AG to report abuse, it is a last-ditch effort. The AG can contact the appropriate county attorney or law enforcement; however, if a person initially contacts DHS or DIA to report DAA and the case is rejected, the alleged victim is then “trapped” in the system because the information cannot be shared with the AG or IDA. Only the county attorney is specified in the Code for referral of reports. Confidentiality restrictions also prohibit sharing of information for those who need support and services. Information should only be shared on a need-to-know basis within the agencies to ensure respect and dignity. The defunding of the OSDM was a huge loss for people who do not have anyone available to intervene for them. There are crimes that can be charged when a person is assaulted or abused, but these crimes are difficult to prove and many times the victim feels the results are their fault, they are humiliated, or they do not want a family member charged with a crime. Additionally, for a criminal charge of DAA, the alleged perpetrator has to be a caretaker and



Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee

the alleged victim has to be dependent. It is also difficult to prove the exercise of undue influence. While bankers are not mandatory reporters, they do want to be part of the elder abuse prevention system once a functioning elder abuse system is in place. Banks are able to report suspicious activity and their reports can be used in an investigation through a subpoena. If the definition of DAA is changed to broaden the population of individuals to whom the definition may apply, and the definition of alleged perpetrator is broadened beyond “caretaker,” there will be more cases to address and additional resources will be needed.

F. Elder Abuse Initiative

Ms. Linda Hildreth, Elder Abuse Program Director, IDA, reviewed the history of the Elder Abuse Initiative (EAI) Demonstration Projects, which were implemented in 22 counties from 2001-2011. Funding through the Senior Living Trust Fund for the projects ended June 30, 2011. The EAI established local partnerships to focus on prevention, intervention, detection, and reporting of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation by presenting elders with options to enhance their lifestyle choices. Elder abuse is defined under the federal Older Americans Act as abuse, neglect, or exploitation of an individual 60 years of age or older. The clients of the projects were individuals age 60 and over, dependent or independent, not living in health care facilities, and at risk of or experiencing abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Project data was collected from 2007-2011 and during that time there were 12,000 referrals, 66 percent of which involved mental health issues, 44.4 percent of which involved financial exploitation, and only 16 percent of which would have been considered DAA but for lack of involvement of a caretaker or lack of dependency. When abuse existed, it was usually more than one type. If the numbers are extrapolated statewide, there would have been roughly 42,000 referrals. The AG is in the process of reviewing all of the referrals to further explore how to provide assistance.

G. Office of Substitute Decision Maker

Ms. Deanna Clingan-Fischer, State Long-Term Care Ombudsman, provided an overview of the historical development of the OSDM and the data collected during the operation of the OSDM for which funding was eliminated in 2009. The OSDM provided a substitute decision maker as a last resort to individuals with no other option, and the least restrictive form of decision maker was used.

III. Progress Report and Recommendations of the 2013 Task Force

The 2013 task force formed three workgroups and each workgroup chairperson presented the recommendations of their respective workgroup:

A. Centralization Workgroup Recommendations:

- **Specialized Education and Training.** This workgroup recommended development of a single training module to increase knowledge and distinguish between elder abuse and dependent adult abuse. The module can be developed without a change in the law, but the law will need to be changed to require standardized usage of the module, develop



discipline-specific tracks, determine oversight for the curriculum, and require all trainers to be certified and establish core staffing requirements.

- **Single Entry Point of Contact.** The ADRC could be the single point of contact, but DHS would continue to receive and investigate reports of abuse. A mandatory reporter could also contact DHS, but this would be a single point of contact. A single point of contact statewide telephone number could be added to the DHS notice that is sent out indicating if the report is accepted or rejected so that a person could at least access services. Legislative changes would be required to address confidentiality provisions that limit the sharing of information essential to providing intervention and protection.

B. Elder Abuse Law and Definition Workgroup Recommendations:

- **Defining Elder Abuse.** This workgroup recommended various options, and the majority of workgroup members when surveyed supported an expansion of the definition of DAA to include other situations that define abuse. The workgroup also recommended eliminating a caretaker role as a prerequisite for an accepted report of abuse; moving the criminal provisions in Iowa Code chapter 235B to the criminal statutes portion of the Code; amending Iowa Code chapter 235E to require DIA to inform both the county attorney and the AG of any reports of DAA; expanding the definition of abuse to include emotional and psychological abuse; and providing for the authorization of the use of protective orders.
- **Safeguards for Financial Exploitation.** This workgroup recommended expanding the definition of financial exploitation for the purposes of reporting abuse by mandatory and permissive reporters in long-term care facilities and assisted living programs; creating a petition for relief to obtain a protective order/restraining order to freeze assets in cases of financial exploitation; authorizing recovery of actual damages, punitive damages, attorney fees, and court costs in civil actions for financial exploitation; amending criminal provisions relating to theft and degree of theft to include new offenses or to enhance penalties when financial exploitation of an elder is involved; removing any requirement that the perpetrator act for their own profit when defining financial exploitation; addressing other definitions, statute of limitations provisions, and reports to law enforcement; and requiring financial institutions to act as mandatory reporters once an elder abuse system is in place.
- **Laws Relating to Powers of Attorney, Conservatorship Abuse, and OSDM.** This workgroup recommended the adoption of the Uniform Power of Attorney Act as modified by the Iowa State Bar Association; the creation of a registry for powers of attorney; implementation of background checks for prospective conservators; allowing a guardian ad litem to be appointed when an elder is the victim or witness in a criminal case; and continuation of funding of the guardianship/conservatorship monitoring and assistance pilot project at the University of Iowa.
- **Multidisciplinary Teams (MDTs).** This workgroup recommended reviewing the usage of MDTs to determine how prevalent they should be throughout the state to serve older



Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee

lowans better; researching the establishment of a state MDT to act in a consultative capacity to local MDTs; expanding MDT powers and functions to address abuse issues; and addressing confidentiality statutes to allow state and local governmental entities to collaborate and share essential confidential information as necessary to provide protection and intervention.

- **Single Point of Contact.** This workgroup also recommended the establishment of a single point of contact for reporting of elder abuse.

C. OSDM/Referrals/Services Workgroup Recommendations:

- **OSDM.** This workgroup recommended various alternatives for operationalizing the OSDM. The workgroup prioritized fully funding the OSDM and expressed concern that the option of eliminating the OSDM is not a viable option. Other options included a phase-in of the OSDM.
- **Elder Abuse Initiative System.** The elder abuse initiative previously implemented in 22 counties is the recommended system to implement statewide to focus on prevention, intervention, detection, and provision of services to maintain the health, welfare, safety, and resources of older lowans.
- **Allocation of Service Dollars.** Funding for services is needed to ensure protection and safety. A fund should be established for emergency services.
- **Safe Havens.** Safe havens should be created in specific locations for older lowans who need a safe place to stay.

IV. Final Report

The task force submitted its final report on December 16, 2013, to the committee for approval. Representative Costello assumed the Co-chairperson position on December 19, 2013, with the election of former Representative Garrett to the Iowa Senate. Due to the lack of opportunity to meet and vote on the final report, the committee members instead approved referral of the final report, without recommendation, to the appropriate standing committees and appropriations subcommittees of the General Assembly for further review.

V. Materials Filed With the Legislative Services Agency

The materials listed were distributed at or in connection with the meeting and are filed with the Legislative Services Agency. The materials may be accessed from the "Committee Documents" link on the committee's Internet site:

<https://www.legis.iowa.gov/committees/meetings/documents?committee=19052&ga=ALL>



1. Elder Abuse Prevention and Intervention Study Committee
2. Charge of the Committee
3. Tentative Agenda
4. Document A: 2013 Elder Abuse Task Force Progress Report
5. Document B: 2012 Elder Abuse Task Force Report
6. Document C: Office of Substitute Decision-Maker (OSDM) History
7. Document D: OSDM FAQs
8. Document E: 2012 OSDM Report
9. Document F: 2012 Elder Abuse Initiative Report Executive Summary
10. Document G: 2012 Elder Abuse Initiative Results Report
11. Document H: Older Iowans: 2013
12. Document I: Code Chapter 231-Department on Aging-Older Iowans
13. Document J: Code Chapter 231E-Substitute Decision Maker Act
14. Document K: Code Chapter 235B-Dependent Adult Abuse Services-Information Registry
15. Document L: Code Chapter 235E-Dependent Adult Abuse in Facilities and Programs
16. Document M: Code Chapter 236-Domestic Abuse
17. Document N: Code Section 714.16A-Additional Civil Penalty for Consumer Frauds Committed Against Elderly
18. Document O: Code Chapter 729-Infringement of Individual Rights
19. Document P: Code Chapter 729A-Violation of Individual Rights-Hate Crimes
20. Document Q: Code Section 726.7-Wanton Neglect of a Resident of a Health Facility and 726.8-Wanton Neglect or Nonsupport of a Dependent Adult
21. Document R: Sections 633.535, 633.536 and 633.537-Felonious Death
22. Document S: Code Chapter 633B-Powers of Attorney
23. Document T: The Uniform Power of Attorney Act, Executive Summary
24. Document U: The Uniform Power of Attorney Act, Draft Proposal